

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JAMES G. KARAS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.: 2:16-cv-02479-GMN-NJK
vs.)	
)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	ORDER
SECURITY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, (ECF No. 20), which recommends that this case be dismissed.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

///

///


1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 20), is
3 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED**.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court is instructed to close this case
6 and enter judgment accordingly.

7 **DATED** this 2 day of April, 2018.

8
9 
10 _____
11 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
12 United States District Court
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25